Robust Calibration Marker Detection in Powder Bed Images from Laser Beam Melting Processes

TF-010464 Joschka zur Jacobsmühlen, Jan Achterhold, Stefan Kleszczynski, Gerd Witt and Dorit Merhof

ICIT 2016

Taipei Taiwan









Design (3D)







Design (3D) Slices (2D + 1D)

• Layer-based, iterative







• Layer-based, iterative







- Layer-based, iterative
- Laser melts metal powder according to layer geometry







- Layer-based, iterative
- Laser melts metal powder according to layer geometry





Laser Beam Melting – "3D Printing with Metal"



hip implant [www.slm-solutions.com]



injection nozzle [www.eos.info]



turbine blade (demo) [RTC Duisburg]



spiders [RTC Duisburg]



impeller [RTC Duisburg]



















Detect flaws





Visual Inspection of Produced Layers



Detect flaws







Detect flaws







Detect flaws







Detect flaws







Detect flaws







Detect flawsAcquire images of powder bed and melt result





Visual Inspection of Produced Layers









Visual Inspection of Produced Layers





after laser exposure

Detect flaws

Ζ

Acquire images of powder bed and melt result





Visual Inspection of Produced Layers







Visual Inspection of Produced Layers







Layer Image Acquisition



zur Jacobsmühlen, J.; Kleszczynski, S.; Schneider, D. & Witt, G. High Resolution Imaging for Inspection of Laser Beam Melting Systems IEEE International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference (I²MTC), **2013**





Layer Image Acquisition

Camera Position Causes Perspective Distortion



nstitute of

maging and

Computer Vision

Perspective Correction of Layer Images







Perspective Correction of Layer Images

Analysis requires orthographic images







Perspective Correction of Layer Images

Analysis requires orthographic images









Perspective Correction of Layer Images

• Analysis requires orthographic images





We need a reliable calibration method





Perspective Correction of Layer Images

• Analysis requires orthographic images





- We need a reliable calibration method
- Support different camera positions and view angles





Perspective Correction of Layer Images

Analysis requires orthographic images





- We need a reliable calibration method
- Support different camera positions and view angles
- Automate calibration for best user experience and accuracy





- ✓ Laser Beam Melting
- ✓ Layer Image Acquisition
- Methods
 - Perspective Correction
 - Marker Detection
 - Shape Extraction
 - Classification
 - Homography Optimization
- Conclusion





















• 2D transformation estimation from four point correspondences (homography)







• 2D transformation estimation from four point correspondences (homography)







- 2D transformation estimation from four point correspondences (homography)
- Markers are "drawn" by LBM system's laser in powder bed







• 2D transformation estimation from four point correspondences (homography)

nstitute of maging and

Computer Vision

- Markers are "drawn" by LBM system's laser in powder bed
- Detect marker center points
Sample Powder Bed Images



- Full resolution: 6576 x 4384 px, 20...35 µm/px (different field of view)
- N = 265 images from 54 different build jobs for training and testing





Sample Powder Bed Images



- Full resolution: 6576 x 4384 px, 20...35 µm/px (different field of view)
- N = 265 images from 54 different build jobs for training and testing





Sample Marker Images

Large Variations between Builds





















Exposure series (T = 105, 125, 145 ms) for each lighting





















Identify regions of interest











- Identify regions of interest
- Cannot use direct template matching
 - Varying appearance of markers
 - False positives







Quotient of Gaussians (G)



Percentile of DoG (P)



Local variance (V)

- Identify regions of interest
- Cannot use direct template matching
 - Varying appearance of markers
 - False positives







Quotient of Gaussians (G)



Percentile of DoG (P)



Local variance (V)

- Identify regions of interest
- Cannot use direct template matching
 - Varying appearance of markers
 - False positives
- All methods achieve 100% sensitivity using 6 calibration images







Quotient of Gaussians (G)



Percentile of DoG (P)



Local variance (V)

- Identify regions of interest
- Cannot use direct template matching
 - Varying appearance of markers
 - False positives
- All methods achieve 100% sensitivity using 6 calibration images









Quotient of Gaussians (G)



- Cannot use direct template matching
 - Varying appearance of markers
 - False positives
- All methods achieve 100% sensitivity using 6 calibration images





Number of false positives

40

30

20

10

0

G

Ρ

Method



























Radon Transform for robust shape extraction







• Radon Transform for robust shape extraction



$$P(r,\varphi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i(x,y)\delta(x\cos\varphi + y\sin\varphi - r)dx \, dy$$



Radon Transform for robust shape extraction







Radon Transform for robust shape extraction







• Radon Transform for robust shape extraction







Radon Transform for robust shape extraction









Input



Polar Angle φ [°]





Polar Angle φ [°]









Polar Angle φ [°]



Polar Angle φ [°]



























Robust ellipse fit even for weak, discontinuous lines











Discard non-marker matches





Discard non-marker matches

Ellipse detection





Discard non-marker matches

Ellipse detection



inliers
binarized points





Discard non-marker matches

Ellipse detection



inliers
binarized points



 $\frac{\text{Data points} \cap \text{ mask}}{\text{\# pixel in mask}}$





Discard non-marker matches

Ellipse detection



inliers
binarized points



 $\frac{\text{Data points} \cap \text{ mask}}{\text{\# pixel in mask}}$

Goodness of fit





Discard non-marker matches

Ellipse detection

Marker template built from detected shapes



inliers
binarized points



 $\frac{\text{Data points} \cap \text{ mask}}{\text{\# pixel in mask}}$

Goodness of fit





Discard non-marker matches

Ellipse detection



inliers
binarized points



 $\frac{\text{mask} \cap \text{binary patch}}{\text{\# pixels in mask}}$



 $\frac{\text{Data points} \cap \text{ mask}}{\text{\# pixel in mask}}$

Goodness of fit

72 Robust Calibration Marker Detection in Powder Bed Images from Laser Beam Melting Processes | Joschka zur Jacobsmühlen | Institute of Imaging and Computer Vision | ICIT 2016 | 15.03.2016



Marker template built from detected shapes


Features for Classification of Candidates

Discard non-marker matches

Ellipse detection



inliers
binarized points



 $\frac{\text{mask} \cap \text{binary patch}}{\text{\# pixels in mask}}$



 $\frac{\text{Data points} \cap \text{ mask}}{\text{\# pixel in mask}}$



Correlation

Marker template built from detected shapes

Goodness of fit





Features for Classification of Candidates

Discard non-marker matches

Ellipse detection



inliers
binarized points



mask ∩ binary patch # pixels in mask





Correlation

Marker template built from detected shapes

Goodness of fit

Verify shape detection









Identify True Markers

Random Forest classifier





- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality





- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection





- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set





- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)





Identify True Markers

- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)



correct results





Identify True Markers

- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)



correct results detectable errors





Identify True Markers

- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)



correct results detectable errors unrecognizable errors





Identify True Markers

- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)





correct results detectable errors unrecognizable errors





Identify True Markers

- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)



correct results detectable errors unrecognizable errors



All features







Identify True Markers

- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)



correct results detectable errors unrecognizable errors



All features



96.3 % correct,1 detectable error, 1 unrecognizable error





Identify True Markers

- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)

All features



correct results detectable errors unrecognizable errors







Identify True Markers

- Random Forest classifier
 - Supports few samples with high dimensionality
 - Implicit feature selection
- Split 54 build processes into training and test set
- Evaluate by 10-fold cross validation (repeated 10 times)

All features



correct results detectable errors unrecognizable errors

nstitute of

maging and

Computer Vision



Marker Detection Flow Chart







Problem: Imprecise Detection







Problem: Imprecise Detection



•Ellipses are not mapped to circles (original shape)





Problem: Imprecise Detection



•Ellipses are not mapped to circles (original shape)

Improve mapping by minimizing back-projection error





Problem: Imprecise Detection



•Ellipses are not mapped to circles (original shape)

Improve mapping by minimizing back-projection error
 Keep original aspect ratio to enable comparison to CAD drawing





Back-projection of circles from CAD model







Define error measure







Define error measure



Imaging scale is unknown: circle radius in pixels has to be estimated





Minimize shape error







Minimize shape error



Compute shape error using polygonal representation





Minimize shape error



- Compute shape error using polygonal representation
- Optimization problem:

$$\{r^*, H^*\} = \min_{r \in \mathbb{R}, H \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}} \sum_i A_{error, i}$$

solved using Nelder-Mead





Result of Optimization



- Transformation matrix H* and circle radius r*
- Ellipses which are mapped to perfect circles with radius r*
- Minimal error area between ellipses and detected ellipses

Corrects errors of ellipse detection







Distance of Empse Center to Ground Huth [bx]







Median: 2.0 px (40 - 60 µm)







Median: 2.0 px (40 – 60 μm) 95%-percentile: 4.68 px (93 – 140 μm)







Median: 2.0 px (40 – 60 μm) 95%-percentile: 4.68 px (93 – 140 μm)



Markers with minimum distance to ground truth











Detection Results







Conclusion





Robust automatic marker detection




- Robust automatic marker detection
 - ✓ out of focus blur
 - ✓ different appearance
 - ✓ incomplete markers





- Robust automatic marker detection
 - ✓ out of focus blur
 - ✓ different appearance
 - ✓ incomplete markers
 - Detects 4 correct markers in 52 out of 54 build jobs





- Robust automatic marker detection
 - ✓ out of focus blur
 - ✓ different appearance
 - ✓ incomplete markers
 - Detects 4 correct markers in 52 out of 54 build jobs
- Homography optimization
 - ✓ Achieves minimum shape error between reference and detected marker geometry
 - Ensures correct aspect ratio of output images





- Robust automatic marker detection
 - ✓ out of focus blur
 - ✓ different appearance
 - ✓ incomplete markers
 - Detects 4 correct markers in 52 out of 54 build jobs
- Homography optimization
 - ✓ Achieves minimum shape error between reference and detected marker geometry
 - Ensures correct aspect ratio of output images
 - > Distance to ground truth: median $40 60 \mu m$, 95%-percentile: 93 140 μm





- Robust automatic marker detection
 - ✓ out of focus blur
 - ✓ different appearance
 - ✓ incomplete markers
 - Detects 4 correct markers in 52 out of 54 build jobs
- Homography optimization
 - ✓ Achieves minimum shape error between reference and detected marker geometry
 - Ensures correct aspect ratio of output images
 - > Distance to ground truth: median $40 60 \mu m$, 95%-percentile: 93 140 μm
- Enables automatic calibration of perspective correction for powder bed image inspection





Robust Calibration Marker Detection in Powder Bed Images from Laser Beam Melting Processes

TF-010464 Joschka zur Jacobsmühlen, Jan Achterhold, Stefan Kleszczynski, Gerd Witt and Dorit Merhof

ICIT 2016

Taipei Taiwan

